

## LETTERS TO THE EDITORS

## Reducibility of Supported Rhenium

Interest in the reducibility of rhenium supported on alumina stems from the use of this element in bimetallic platinum/alumina reforming catalysts and the question of its role in such catalysts. Reduced rhenium would more likely be associated with the platinum while an oxidized form would probably interact with the alumina. Johnson and LeRoy (1) have reported that rhenium on alumina is reduced by hydrogen to the  $\text{Re}^{4+}$  state exclusively. Their conclusion is not consistent with the results of our experiments in which alumina-supported rhenium has been found to be completely reducible.

In the work reported here the extent of reduction was determined by hydrogen consumption and by oxygen taken up in reoxidation. Hydrogen was circulated over the catalyst in an all-glass system which included a trap at  $-196^\circ\text{C}$  to remove water. A pressure of approximately 400 Torr (1 Torr =  $133.3 \text{ N m}^{-2}$ ) was maintained by

appropriately reducing the volume in a gas buret attached to the system. Reduction was carried out initially at  $400^\circ\text{C}$  followed by a period at  $450^\circ\text{C}$  as shown in Table 1. For reoxidation, the reduced and degassed catalyst was exposed to a measured amount of oxygen at 200 Torr, the temperature held at  $400^\circ\text{C}$  until the pressure remained constant, and the amount of oxygen was remeasured.

Samples were prepared by impregnating alumina (American Cyanamid Aero 100, surface area  $227 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ ) with an aqueous solution of perrhenic acid, drying at  $107^\circ\text{C}$  for 16 hr, and calcining in flowing air at  $482^\circ\text{C}$  for 2 hr. The sample for a second trial was additionally calcined at  $500^\circ\text{C}$  for 3 hr.

The average of all nine values appearing in Table 1 is  $7.0 \pm 0.2$  equiv/Re, which corresponds to  $99.6 \pm 3.0\%$  reduction. The largest source of error is probably the rhenium analysis; however, the standard

TABLE 1  
RESULTS

Trial:	I				II	
Sample wt (g)	5.00				5.14	
Re (%)	$3.42 \pm 0.03$				$3.64 \pm 0.08$	
Theor $\text{H}_2$ reqd (cc)	$72.0 \pm 0.6$				$78.8 \pm 1.7$	
Reduction	1st		2nd		1st	
Temp ( $^\circ\text{C}$ )	400	450	400	450	400	450
Time at temp (hr)	19	4	69	24	26	41
Measd $\text{H}_2$ consum (cc)	72.2	73.4	71.2	72.3	74.3	77.5
Reduction (%)	100.3	101.9	98.9	100.4	94.3	98.4
Equiv/Re	7.0	7.1	6.9	7.0	6.6	6.9
$\text{O}_2$ consum (cc equiv $\text{H}_2$ )	—	76.2	—	71.6	—	76.7
Reduction (%)	—	105.8	—	99.4	—	97.3
Equiv/Re	—	7.4	—	7.0	—	6.8

deviation (of triplicate determinations) is less than 3% in the worst case. The results shown in Table 1 have been corrected for the following blanks (for 5 g samples);  $H_2$  consumed by the alumina alone, 1.5 cc at 400°C, 3.4 cc at 450°C;  $O_2$  consumed by alumina alone after 450°C reduction, 2.0 cc;  $H_2$  adsorbed by reduced catalyst, 1.8 cc; and  $O_2$  adsorbed by oxidized catalyst, 0.6 cc. Adsorptions were determined at the conditions of reduction and oxidation.

Several possible reasons could be suggested for differences between these results and those of Johnson and LeRoy. Low concentrations of nonnoble metal oxides on refractory supports are known to be difficult to reduce; however, if as much as 1.18% Re (the concentration used by Johnson and LeRoy) reduced only to  $Re^{4+}$  and the remainder to  $Re^0$ , the average extent of reduction would have been only 81%. The higher concentrations of rhenium used in this work may have been present as larger particles, less influenced by the support, and therefore more easily reduced to  $Re^0$ . It should be

noted that the sample for trial II, which had been more severely calcined, was definitely more difficult to reduce. Another and perhaps more significant difference is that Johnson and LeRoy used a static reduction with product water remaining in the system, whereas in this work the hydrogen was circulated with continuous removal of water. Yates and Sinfelt (2) also succeeded in completely reducing supported rhenium in flowing  $H_2$  at 500°C. In their case, however, the catalyst was 10% rhenium on silica rather than alumina, another factor contributing to ease of reduction.

#### REFERENCES

1. Johnson, M. F. L., and LeRoy, V. M., *J. Catal.* **35**, 434 (1974).
2. Yates, D. J. C., and Sinfelt, J. H., *J. Catal.* **14**, 182 (1969).

A. N. WEBB

*Texaco Research Center  
Beacon, New York 12508  
Received April 8, 1975*